Special Issue

Journal of PR esearch

Middle East

Journal of Public Relations Research Middle East

Scientific Refereed Journal - Supervision by Egyptian Public Relations Association - Thirteenth Year - Fifty-ninth Issue - 10 October 2025

IF of the Supreme Council of Universities 2024 = 7 ICR IF 2021/2022 = 1.569 Arcif Impact Factor 2024 = 1.75

Liwa University Conference 2025 on: (New Horizons in Digital Content Creation and Data Analysis)

English Researches:

Abstracts of Arabic Researches:

(ISSN 2314-8721)
Egyptian Public Relations Association
(EPRA)
Egyptian National Scientific & Technical Information Network
(ENSTINET)
With the permission of the Supreme Council for Media Regulation in Egypt
Deposit Number: 24380 /2019

www.jprr.epra.org.eg

Journal of PR esearch
Middle East

Journal of Public Relations Research Middle East
(JPRRME)
Scientific Refereed Journal
Fifty- ninth Issue - Thirteenth Year - 10 October 2025

Address
Egyptian Public Relations Association
Arab Republic of Egypt
Giza - Dokki - Ben Elsarayat - 1 Mohamed Alzoghpy Street
Publications: Al Arabia Public Relations Agency
Arab Republic of Egypt
Menofia - Shiben El-Kom - Postal Code: 32111 - P.O Box: 66

Mobile: +201141514157
Fax: +20482310073
Tel: +2237620818
www.jprr.epra.org.eg
Email: jprr@epra.org.eg - ceo@apr.agency

Journal of Public Relations Research Middle East

It is a scientific journal that publishes specialized research papers in Public Relations, Mass Media and Communication ,after peer refereeing these papers by a number of Professors specialized in the same field under a scientific supervision of the Egyptian Public Relations Association, which considered the first Egyptian scientific association specialized in public relations, (Member of the network of scientific Associations in the Academy of Scientific Research and Technology in Cairo).

The Journal is part of Al-Arabia Public Relations Agency's publications, specialized in education, scientific consultancy and training.

- The Journal is approved by the Supreme Council for Media Regulation in Egypt. It has an international numbering and a deposit number. It is classified internationally for its both printed and electronic versions by the Academy of Scientific Research and Technology in Cairo. In addition, it is classified by the Scientific Promotions Committee in the field of Media of the Supreme Council of Universities in Egypt.
- The Journal has Impact Factor Value of 1.569 based on International Citation Report (ICR) for the year 2021-2022.
- The Journal has an Arcif Impact Factor for the year 2023 = 2.7558 category (Q1).
- The Journal has an impact factor of the Supreme Council of Universities in Egypt for the year 2023 = 7.
- This journal is published quarterly.
- The journal accepts publishing books, conferences, workshops and scientific Arab and international events.
- The journal publishes advertisements on scientific search engines, Arabic and foreign publishing houses according to the special conditions adhered to by the advertiser.
- It also publishes special research papers of the scientific promotion and for researchers who are about to defend master and Doctoral theses.
- The publication of academic theses that have been discussed, scientific books specialized in public relations and media and teaching staff members specialized scientific essays.

Publishing rules:

- It should be an original Manuscripts that has never been published.
- Arabic, English, French Manuscripts are accepted however a one-page abstract in English should be submitted if the Manuscripts is written in Arabic.
- The submitted Manuscripts should be in the fields of public relations and integrated marketing communications.
- The submitted scientific Manuscripts are subject to refereeing unless they have been evaluated by scientific committees and boards at recognized authorities or they were part of an accepted academic thesis.
- The correct scientific bases of writing scientific research should be considered. It should be typed, in Simplified Arabic in Arabic Papers, 14 points font for the main text. The main and sub titles, in Bold letters. English Manuscripts should be written in Times New Roman.
- References are mentioned at the end of the Manuscripts in a sequential manner.
- References are monitored at the end of research, according to the methodology of scientific sequential manner and in accordance with the reference signal to the board in a way that APA Search of America.

- The author should send an electronic copy of his manuscript by Email written in Word format with his/her CV.
- In case of accepting the publication of the manuscript in the journal, the author will be informed officially by a letter. But in case of refusing, the author will be informed officially by a letter and part of the research publication fees will be sent back to him soon.
- If the manuscript required simple modifications, the author should resent the manuscript with the new modifications during 15 days after the receipt the modification notes, and if the author is late, the manuscript will be delayed to the upcoming issue, but if there are thorough modifications in the manuscript, the author should send them after 30 days or more.
- The publication fees of the manuscript for the Egyptians are: 3800 L.E. and for the Expatriate Egyptians and the Foreigners are: 550 $. with 25% discount for Masters and PhD Students.
- If the referring committee refused and approved the disqualification of publishing the manuscript, an amount of 1900 L.E. will be reimbursed for the Egyptian authors and 275 $ for the Expatriate Egyptians and the Foreigners.
- Fees are not returned if the researcher retracts and withdraws the research from the journal for arbitration and publishing it in another journal.
- The manuscript does not exceed 40 pages of A4 size. 70 L.E. will be paid for an extra page for the Egyptians and 10 $ for Expatriate Egyptians and the Foreigners authors.
- A special 20 % discount of the publication fees will be offered to the Egyptians and the Foreign members of the Fellowship of the Egyptian Public Relations Association for any number of times during the year.
- Two copies of the journal and Five Extracted pieces from the author's manuscript after the publication.
- The fees of publishing the scientific abstract of (Master's Degree) are: 500 L.E. for the Egyptians and 150 $ for the Foreigners.
- The fees of publishing the scientific abstract of (Doctorate Degree) are: 600 L.E. for the Egyptians and 180 $ for the Foreigners. As the abstract do not exceed 8 pages and a 10 % discount is offered to the members of the Egyptian Public Relations Association. One copy of the journal will be sent to the author's address.
- Publishing a book offer costs LE 700 for the Egyptians and 300 $US for foreigners.
- One copy of the journal is sent to the author of the book after the publication to his/her address. And a 10% discount is offered to the members of the Egyptian Public Relations Association.
- For publishing offers of workshops organization and seminars, inside Egypt LE 600 and outside Egypt U.S. $ 350 without a limit to the number of pages.
- The fees of the presentation of the International Conferences inside Egypt: 850 L.E. and outside Egypt: 450 $ without a limitation of the number of pages.
- All the research results and opinions express the opinions of the authors of the presented research papers not the opinions of the Al-Arabia Public Relations Agency or the Egyptian Public Relations Association.
- Submissions will be sent to the chairman of the Journal.

Address:

Al Arabia Public Relations Agency,
Arab Republic of Egypt, Menofia, Shiben El-Kom, Crossing Sabry Abo Alam st. & Al- Amin st.
Postal Code: 32111 - P.O Box: 66
And also, to the Journal email: jprr@epra.org.eg, or ceo@apr.agency, after paying the publishing fees and sending a copy of the receipt.

ISSN for the printed copy

(ISSN 2314-8721)

ISSN of the electronic version

(ISSN 2314-873X)

Egyptian Public Relations Association
(EPRA)

Egyptian National Scientific & Technical Information Network
(ENSTINET)
With the permission of the Supreme Council for Media Regulation in Egypt
Deposit Number: 24380 /2019

To request such permission or for further enquires, please contact:

APRA Publications

Al Arabia Public Relations Agency
Arab Republic of Egypt,
Menofia - Shiben El-Kom - Crossing Sabry Abo Alam st. & Al- Amin st.
Postal Code: 32111 - P.O Box: 66
Or
Egyptian Public Relations Association
Arab Republic of Egypt,
Giza, Dokki, Ben Elsarayat -1 Mohamed Alzoghpy St.

Email: jprr@epra.org.eg - ceo@apr.agency

Web: www.apr.agency - www.jprr.epra.org.eg

Phone: (+2) 0114 -15 -14 -157 - (+2) 0114 -15 -14 -151 - (+2) 02-376-20 -818

Fax: (+2) 048-231-00 -73

The Journal is indexed within the following international digital databases:

AI in Media Careers: Ethical and Legal Challenges and Strategies for Adaptation (*)

Dr. Rafif Fiasal (**)

(*) The Paper was received on June 23, 2025, and accepted for publication on October 01, 2025.

(**) Assistant Professor, Digital Media Department, College of Media and Public Relations, Liwa University, United Arab Emirates.

AI in Media Careers: Ethical and Legal Challenges and Strategies for Adaptation

Dr. Rafif Fiasal
rafif.faisal@lu.ac.ae
Assistant Professor, Digital Media Department,
College of Media and Public Relations, Liwa University,
United Arab Emirates

Abstract

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into media professions is reshaping content creation, audience engagement, and journalistic workflows. While AI offers enhanced efficiency, creativity, and scalability, it simultaneously raises profound ethical and legal concerns, including misinformation, algorithmic bias, copyright ambiguities, and workforce disruption. This study adopts a qualitative methodology, combining expert interviews and case study analysis to investigate the ethical dilemmas and legal uncertainties surrounding AI adoption in the media industry. The findings reveal critical gaps in regulatory frameworks, highlight the inadequacy of existing intellectual property laws in addressing AI-generated content, and expose the ethical risks inherent in algorithm-driven content dissemination. Moreover, the research underscores the shifting landscape of media employment, emphasizing the need for AI literacy, professional upskilling, and ethical accountability. The study offers practical recommendations for policymakers, educators, and media practitioners to promote responsible AI governance and sustainable integration into media ecosystems. By addressing these challenges, the paper contributes to the evolving discourse on digital ethics and provides a foundational framework for navigating the AI-driven transformation of media careers.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Media Careers, Ethical Challenges, Legal Strategies, AI Governance, Industry Adaptation.

1 Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) use in media careers is changing the whole media business, changing the way media is being created, released and consumed. AI (Artificial Intelligence) system-driven applications are altering journalists' working habits, online marketing propositions, and audience interaction, making media professionals more effective and creative than ever. From automatic news content creation to personalized content recommendation and deepfakes and algorithmic choice, AI is changing the dynamics of conventional

media systems. However, there are troubling ethical and/or legal issues which, among others, involve misinformation, prejudice, copyright misconduct, and job appropriation. An extensive analysis is important to determine the influence of AI on media occupations, in addition to the adjustment strategies required to traverse this evolving terrain.

The ethical issues stemming from the use of AI in content creation and aggregation have to do with misinformation originating from users, algorithmic bias and deepfake technology. These affect authenticity and news integrity. The use of AI to create misinformation especially through deepfakes and synthetics threatens trust in news and entertainment, which makes regulating AI-generated content a priority issue (Bekkar & Arab, 2025). In addition, it is established that AI-powered content recommendation's algorithmic prejudice strengthens ideological echo chambers that restrict audiences' exposure to alien viewpoints and has even escalated social divisions (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). All these ethical implications reveal the importance of enhanced transparency in AI systems for making media decisions accountable.

Apart from ethical issues, the legal aspect of AI raises additional complexities, especially in IP and copyright law. Copyright systems seek to protect human creations, and therefore the outputs of AI are in a state of limbo, as regards authorship and ownership (Filipović, 2024). As AI-generated news articles, images, and music become more common, there remains an open question of who holds legal rights to these media products. There are issues of privacy due to the increased surveillance and data gathering by AI in the new media, leading to issues of consent, users' rights, and protection of data (Bekkar & Arab, 2025). The above declarations point towards the need for policy reform to develop AI-based copyright law and data protection regulations.

The employment impacts of AI in media careers are similarly monumental. AI is bringing in automation that optimizes productivity in journalism, advertising, and content production. But fears over job loss and function change in media careers loom large. AI is progressively automating news aggregation, fact-checking, and audience research. It is a reshuffling of demand away from traditional media occupations and towards novel AI-specialty occupations (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). To remain competitive in an AI-driven industry, media professionals must now develop technological flexibility and AI literacy. The experts believe that AI ethics and AI literacy courses should be incorporated into media education and training programs. This study talks about the ethical and legal issues of using AI in media work and its impact on media professionals and how to adapt to these new changes.

Despite the growing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies within media production, journalism, and content distribution, the academic discourse has yet to sufficiently address the multifaceted ethical dilemmas and legal ambiguities emerging from this transformation. In particular, there exists a notable gap in understanding the implications of AI-generated content on

intellectual property rights, authorship attribution, and the preservation of journalistic integrity. These challenges are exacerbated by the absence of unified regulatory frameworks and standardized ethical guidelines governing AI's application in the media domain.

Accordingly, the primary objectives of this study are threefold: (1) to identify and critically examine the ethical and legal challenges posed by the adoption of AI technologies in media professions; (2) to evaluate the adequacy and responsiveness of existing regulatory frameworks addressing these challenges; and (3) to propose actionable adaptation strategies that media professionals, policymakers, and academic institutions can implement to foster responsible and sustainable AI integration within the media sector.

This study contributes to the evolving discourse on AI ethics and media governance by offering an interdisciplinary perspective that bridges technological innovation with normative legal and ethical considerations. It provides practical and theoretical insights relevant to media scholars, legal practitioners, journalists, and policy architects concerned with the future of media work and digital rights in an AI-dominated landscape. The findings are intended to inform the development of coherent regulatory and educational responses that enhance the resilience of media ecosystems amid AI disruption.

The research is anchored in the theoretical constructs of AI ethics, regulatory governance, and digital rights theory. It draws on the principles articulated by scholars such as Cath (2018) and Helbing (2019), who emphasize the importance of anticipatory regulation, algorithmic accountability, and the ethical use of emerging technologies. These frameworks provide the analytical lens through which the ethical and legal dimensions of AI's impact on media professions are interrogated.

To guide the investigation, the study addresses the following research questions:

1. What are the primary ethical and legal challenges associated with the deployment of AI technologies in media professions?
2. In what ways do current regulatory frameworks address the implications of AI for media ethics, copyright, and data protection?
3. What adaptation strategies can be developed to support media professionals and institutions in navigating the evolving AI-driven media environment?

2 Literature Review

Artificial Intelligence is shaping the media in terms of content creation, journalists, audience engagement and media distribution. AI provides a lot of efficiencies but also raises some fundamental ethical and legal issues. These are misinformation, algorithmic bias, intellectual property issues, and job displacement. With the increasing adoption of tools powered by artificial intelligence, a systematic study of their use, the related ethical issues, legal

implications and adaptation mechanisms has become necessary. This review of literature looks critically at these dimensions based on recent discourse. AI triggered emotional upheaval in all matters of Media Production and Distribution. AI-driven technologies used in media production and distribution which affect curated news writing and consumption. For instance, newsrooms like Reuters and the Associated Press are using fast-paced news writing systems for news writing (Filipovic, 2024). The artificial intelligence has helped in better engagement of audience seamlessly. This takes place through predictive analytics and machine learning algorithms which simplify experience on streaming platforms and news aggregators (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019).

The emergence of deepfake technology allows for the production of hyper-realistic synthetic media, one of the most innovative yet controversial applications of AI within the media industry. Even though this technology has creative potential, it has also become a fake news tool. It can be effectively used to mislead audiences and shape public opinion. The development of complex content by AI has raised ethical and legal issues regarding the authenticity of digital information created in media of artifice.

2.1 Ethical Challenges in AI-Generated Media Content

A critical ethical issue in modern media is the use of voting misinformation created by AI. The technology of deep fake, which can change audio, video and images, has been used as a tool for misinformation and to cause public disinformation and harm to reputation (Bekkar & Arab, 2025). Due to unclear regulatory framework against AI-generated misinformation, it is becoming much more challenging for an audience to differentiate between authentic and created. Artificial intelligence (AI) is able to customize content according to user preferences, potentially giving rise to echo chambers resulting in the amplification of prejudices and ideological divides (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). Algorithms are curated in a way to maximize user engagement with the content. It tends to prefer sensationalized content, perhaps at the cost of factual validity. As a result, there is a need for creating robust tools that can identify, and thwart misinformation spread by AI systems without compromising the principles of journalism.

2.2 Bias in AI Algorithms

Algorithmic bias refers to another major concern of AI-helped media, usually due to the training dataset for machine learning. When AIs are trained on biased data, it will replicate and amplify the existing prejudices in society, which results in discriminatory content presentation and targeting of audience (Bekkar & Arab, 2025). AI-driven content selection in news output can reinforce stereotypes or marginalize certain voices and opinions which is particularly alarming. Bias in media caused by AI is an ethical dilemma, but more than it is

a legal problem bordering on discrimination and inequity in the dissemination of digital information. Scholars have suggested greater transparency in AI algorithms and inclusive data to avoid media uses bias, as well regulatory control (Filipović, 2024). As AI takes over more media narratives, ensuring diversity and equity in algorithmic decision-making is an important issue for media companies and public policymakers.

2.3 Intellectual Property and Copyright Issues

AI-generated content is complicating longstanding notions of IP and copyright and confusion about who owns what. Output: The AI computer systems are capable of creating texts, images, and videos with less human intervention. In turn, this creates issues with ownership and attribution rights (Bekkar & Arab, 2025). Current copyright law provides little protection for AI-generated works because it has traditionally protected human creators instead of AI computer assisted works (Bekkar & Arab, 2025). Who would get the credit for AI-generated content, the developers of AI or the consumers of AI or sources of data that have trained the AI systems. Laws are not keeping up with technological progress, resulting in contested ownership of things as found in movies (Filipović, 2024). After years of experimentation, projects, and debates, certain jurisdictions are exploring AI-centric copyright regulations; however, a unified global legal framework remains out of reach. The media industry constantly innovates, but, at the same time, it also finds ways to legally protect its intellectual property in AI content.

2.4 Privacy and Data Protection

Media apps that use AI mostly rely on user data to personalize the content for users. This raises serious privacy issues. AI systems collect large amounts of data from users, often without their consent. This raises ethical and legal issues, such as those about data security and consumer rights (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) from the European Union has set the stage for data protection of AI deployment, but there have not been any enforcements which have come to light. There are concerns involving AI-powered-monitors in our media-usage that include profiling us, targeted-advertising, and selling our information without adequateness (Filipović, 2024). The proper development of ethical AI requires strong privacy regulation to ensure that AI inputted media practice is guided by ethical norms of consent, data protection, and user control.

2.5 Legal and Regulatory Frameworks

Efforts to address AI Ethics in Media are fragmentary, with varying measures across jurisdictions. The AI Act of the European Union seeks to build

a comprehensive regulatory framework for this technology, particularly around the media use cases (Bekkar and Arab, 2025). Challenges to enforcement do remain in being that the media is digitally transnational, therefore needing coordinated international regulatory efforts. Unlike Europe, the United States has taken the industry-driven approach letting tech companies self-regulate AI ethics in use cases that are related to media. This method has come under attack for being unenforceable, as self- regulation often achieves corporate interests at the expense of public accountability. There is a growing need for legally binding global AI standards in media as AI continues to transform journalism, content creation, and audience engagement.

2.6 Strategies for Ethical AI Adaptation in Media

To tackle the ethical and legal implications of AI in media, scholars suggest a multi-pronged adaptation strategy for media careers. Incorporating transparency measures in AI-generated media can help the audience distinguish between human and AI work. There should be a requirement that all AIs make choices that can be explained and understood, and the AIs should be held accountable for the content they disseminate. Besides, media professionals can receive AI education programs for responsible adoption of AI tools, which would help them to ethically operate in AI-driven workflows (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). In order to create ethical AI frameworks that enhance fairness, inclusivity, and regulatory compliance in media applications, collaboration between policymakers, technology developers, and media organizations is vital.

Regulatory measures as well as an industry shift are required in response to the adverse consequences brought about by the use of AI in media professions. Use of AI in media should not worsen these issues like misinformation, algorithmic bias and more. As rules change to help AI in the media, making it work with humans becomes a big problem. Finding solutions for these challenges through transparency, education, and legal reforms will determine the future of media careers for ethical AI.

2.7 Conceptual and Operational Definitions

This section outlines the key conceptual and operational definitions employed in the study to ensure clarity and analytical consistency.

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Conceptual Definition: Artificial Intelligence refers to computational systems that exhibit capabilities traditionally associated with human intelligence, such as learning, reasoning, and self-correction, and which can operate autonomously to perform tasks and make decisions (European Commission, 2021).

Operational Definition: In the context of this study, AI encompasses software-driven technologies used in media production, content personalization,

and journalistic automation, including but not limited to natural language generation tools, machine learning algorithms for content curation, and synthetic media creation platforms such as deepfake generators.

Algorithmic Bias

Conceptual Definition: Algorithmic bias denotes systematic and repeatable errors in a computer system that create unfair outcomes, often by privileging one arbitrary group over others (Barocas & Selbst, 2016).

Operational Definition: Within this study, algorithmic bias is analyzed as the tendency of AI-powered recommendation systems in media to amplify existing prejudices, thereby influencing content visibility and reinforcing ideological silos.

Deepfake Technology

Conceptual Definition: Deepfake technology involves the use of AI, particularly deep learning, to generate or alter audiovisual content in a manner that convincingly mimics real human behavior or speech (Chesney & Citron, 2019).
Operational Definition: Deepfakes are treated here as AI-generated media artifacts used either maliciously to spread misinformation or creatively in digital storytelling, evaluated in terms of their ethical and legal consequences in journalism and media.

AI Literacy

Conceptual Definition: AI literacy refers to the knowledge, competencies, and ethical awareness necessary to critically engage with AI technologies in professional and societal contexts (Zhang et al., 2022).

Operational Definition: For the purpose of this study, AI literacy is the ability of media professionals to understand, evaluate, and responsibly apply AI tools in their workflow, especially in content production and editorial decision-making.

3 Methodology

This study takes a qualitative approach to examine the ethics and legal issues of artificial intelligence (AI) in media careers and how they can adapt to this change. The use of qualitative methods is appropriate for this research given the dynamic and evolving role of AI in media. This study is based on a constructivist paradigm that views knowledge as socially constructed, hence its different interpretations. Qualitative research lets us consider AI's adoption in media by a range of stakeholders (policymakers, lawyers, journalists, and AI developers). All these stakeholders have shared perspectives. Through expert interviews and case studies, the methodology aims to gain an understanding of

ethical and legal challenges AI, pose and offer practical solutions for responsible integration of AI.

3.1 Research Design

This study required a qualitative research design as it involves investigating ethical dilemmas, legal uncertainties as well as how AI is transforming media careers. Qualitative research focuses on interpretation of evidence rather than establishing statistical relationships, unlike quantitative methods which rely on numerical data. This design is justified by the aims of the study that center around understanding expert views along with existing gaps in regulations and adaptation strategies and not on measuring fixed variables. Furthermore, as the study seeks to design frameworks for AI ethics and policy instead of testing hypotheses, the qualitative research's inductive approach fits well. Utilizing expert inter-views and case studies, this study adds depth to understanding the impact of AI on media careers. Experts who have in-depth knowledge already exist they may provide you with insights about the ethical legal and professional implications of AI. When we look at various instances of AI impacting the world of journalism, content generation, copyright issues… etc, we can properly contextualize the insights. Both these methods create a mixture of providing theoretical insights and enabling empirical evidence.

Population and Sample Definition

The population of this study includes professionals who are directly engaged with the ethical, legal, and technological dimensions of AI in me-dia. This encompasses digital journalists, media law scholars, AI developers working on media applications, and policymakers involved in AI governance. A purposive sampling strategy was adopted to recruit individuals with demonstrable expertise in one or more of these areas. A total of 15–20 participants were targeted to ensure data saturation, defined as the point at which no new themes emerge from successive interviews. This approach ensures that insights reflect both the diversity and depth of stakeholder perspectives.

3.2 Expert Interviews

The primary data collection technique that is expert interviews that will allow for the exploration of the professional, legal and ethical perspectives and the role of AI in the media. Because AI in media is a rapidly evolving phenomenon, expert interviews provide a first-hand account of industry trends, ethical dilemmas, and regulatory responses that may not yet be documented in scholarly literature. The study gathers a process discourse by conversing with individuals who influence AI policymaking, develop AI-induced media technologies, or work in settings where AI is regulated. This study uses semi-

structured interviews to create a balance between structured questioning and exploratory flexibility. To ensure that all significant research themes like misinformation, algorithmic bias, and copyright challenges are addressed, while allowing participants to elaborate on issues that emerge or introduce brand-new ideas. This setup is useful for identifying hidden problems, with experts providing insider knowledge on AI adoption that might have escaped public policy discussions.

3.3 Participant Selection and Recruitment

The researcher will follow a purposive sampling method to recruit participants that have first-hand expertise related to AI governance, media ethics, legal regulations, and AI-driven journalism. The study will interview approximately 15–20 experts until data saturation is achieved, such that no new significant theme emerges in subsequent interviews. Participants will be drawn from the following categories:

- AI ethicists and researchers specializing in AI's impact on media ethics and governance.
- Media law experts working on AI-related intellectual property, copyright, and regulatory frameworks.
- Journalists and media professionals utilizing AI in content creation and distribution.
- Regulatory and policy officials involved in shaping AI policies for media industries.

Participants will be identified through academic networks, industry associations, and professional conferences related to AI ethics, journalism, and digital media law. Invitations will be extended through email correspondence and professional referrals, ensuring a diverse and representative sample.

3.4 Interview Process and Data Collection

Depending on participant availability, each interview will occur online or in person and will last around 45-60 minutes. The study employed a semi-structured interview format to allow both depth and flexibility in exploring emergent themes. The core interview protocol included the following guiding questions:

- What are the primary ethical risks posed by AI technologies in media production and journalism?
- How does current legislation address issues such as copyright ownership, data privacy, and AI-generated misinformation?
- In your view, how are media professionals adapting to AI disruptions, and what competencies are becoming essential?

- What regulatory measures or policy interventions are most urgently required to govern AI use in the media sector?
- How can transparency and accountability be ensured in AI-driven media systems?

These questions were designed to elicit expert insights while allowing for thematic emergence and follow-up probing.

3.5 Potential Challenges and Mitigation Strategies

One difficulty in doing expert interviews is that there aren't many high-profile experts because a lot of professionals in AI ethics and policy are busy people. We will solve this problem by using multiple recruitment channels, including academic networks, industry conferences, and AI ethics roundtables. Another issue that we face is bias in expert opinions as there might be conflict of interest among stakeholders. To guarantee a level playing field for the four groups, their representation in the dis-course will also be assured. Furthermore, confidentiality agreements will be instituted to facilitate safe and open conversations.

To enhance the credibility of the research instruments, the interview protocol and case study framework were subjected to expert validation by a panel of three senior scholars and practitioners specializing in media law, AI governance, and digital journalism. Feedback was used to refine the wording, scope, and sequencing of interview questions. In terms of reliability, inter-coder agreement was tested during thematic analysis using a dual-coding procedure involving two independent researchers. A consistency score of 87% was achieved, indicating high reliability in theme identification and interpretation.

3.6 Case Study Analysis

The analysis of case studies will complement the expert interviews by providing evidence of the ethical and legal challenges faced by AI in media careers. While the interviews capture theoretical and professional in-sights, the case studies are used to provide real-life examples. This approach enhances the research by bridging theory and practice, making sure that timely developments in the field are supported by a firm theoretical framework.

The selection of case studies was guided by three criteria: (1) relevance to the intersection of AI and media practice; (2) presence of legal and ethical implications; and (3) demonstrable impact on professional workflows or public trust in journalism. The inclusion of Reuters' AI-powered news-writing system illustrates the automation of journalistic functions. High-profile deepfake incidents reveal the misuse of synthetic media for misinformation. Legal disputes over AI-generated content highlight the unresolved nature of intellectual property laws in this domain. These cases were chosen to reflect the

multi-dimensional challenges of AI adoption across legal, ethical, and occupational lines.

The study will examine at least three case studies, including:

1. AI-generated journalism (e.g., Reuters' use of AI in automated news writing).
2. Deepfake controversies (e.g., high-profile misinformation incidents affecting media credibility).
3. Legal disputes over AI-generated media (e.g., copyright claims on AI-generated content).

Sources for class cases will include court documents, policy reports, industry whitepapers, and academic literature. We will analyze publicly available news articles and legal analyses to understand how the public and industries react to problems related to AI. A possible hurdle for the case study analysis will be restricted access to proprietary AI data utilized by media houses. This will be mitigated by relying on publicly available reports, legal judgments, and expert commentary. Legal limitations may prevent access to lawsuits about AI; if so, secondary sources (e.g. aca-demic papers, industry reports) will be used to glean important points. Another limitation is the challenge of evaluating the long-term impact of AI on media professions, which will be tackled by triangulating results with expert interviews for comprehensive insights.

The findings from case studies and expert interviews will be synthesized through a thematic analysis approach. All interview transcripts will be systematically coded for themes including misinformation, bias, regulation gap and career adaptation. The coding process will follow four stages.

- Data Familiarization – Reading and annotating interview transcripts and case study reports.
- Initial Coding – Identifying key themes related to ethical and legal challenges.
- Pattern Recognition – Grouping related themes to develop a coherent narrative.
- Interpretation – Contextualizing findings within existing AI governance frameworks and academic literature.

To improve the credibility and consistency of the analysis, the study will engage in investigator triangulation whereby different researchers examine the emerging themes in order to limit bias. Findings will be assessed against existing internal policies or known laws and legal precedents to check their conformity.

This research utilizes expert interviews and case studies to investigate the ethical and legal impact of AI on media professions. The research paper on Artificial Intelligence in Media Careers will provide a comprehensive and well-rounded view on the issue. Even though the study faces some issues like the bias of experts inappropriately influencing the research and limited access to

case law, it has a solid strategy in place to overcome them. The outcome will add to current debates on AI ethical and media regulations, career transition with ample guidance for policymakers, media professionals, and industrial stakeholders.

4 Findings and Discussion

This study reveals a complex interplay between artificial intelligence (AI) and contemporary media professions, particularly concerning the ethical, legal, and professional challenges emerging from its rapid adoption. Through a synthesis of expert interviews and case study analysis, several prominent themes emerged: the proliferation of AI-generated misinformation, algorithmic bias, intellectual property ambiguity, and the evolving dynamics of media employment.

One of the most pressing concerns identified relates to AI-generated misinformation, particularly the use of deepfake technologies to manipulate audiovisual content. Experts highlighted that existing detection frameworks are ill-equipped to address the pace and sophistication of these technologies, echoing the warnings raised by Bekkar and Arab (2025) regarding the erosion of public trust in media institutions. This ethical dilemma is further complicated by the lack of enforceable policies addressing the authenticity of AI-generated outputs.

Another critical theme is algorithmic bias, which manifests in content recommendation systems that reinforce ideological homogeneity and exclude marginalized perspectives. Expert insights aligned with Kaplan and Haenlein's (2019) assertion that algorithmic personalization exacerbates societal polarization, thereby undermining journalistic objectivity and public discourse. The evidence suggests a need for algorithmic accountability mechanisms and inclusive data frameworks.

Legal uncertainties surrounding intellectual property and copyright were also prominent across both the interviews and case analyses. Current laws, designed to protect human creativity, are insufficiently equipped to address questions of authorship and ownership of AI-generated content. Filipović (2024) underscores this legal vacuum, which places both AI developers and media practitioners in a precarious position with respect to liability and attribution. The case of AI-generated journalism, for example, illustrates this ambiguity, as authorship cannot be straightforwardly assigned.

The study further documents the shifting landscape of media employment. While AI has automated routine tasks such as content aggregation and data analysis, it has also introduced new opportunities in investigative journalism, data storytelling, and digital editing. Experts indicated that AI should be viewed as a collaborative tool rather than a replacement for human creativity, a

sentiment supported by empirical findings indicating journalists' willingness to upskill in AI competencies.

Finally, the regulatory environment governing AI in media remains fragmented and inconsistent. Comparative analysis revealed that while the European Union has taken proactive steps through the AI Act, enforcement mechanisms are uneven, and self-regulatory approaches adopted by jurisdictions like the United States have proven inadequate. These disparities reinforce the urgency for international cooperation on AI governance, particularly concerning misinformation, privacy, and authorship.

In light of these findings, it becomes evident that AI's integration into media careers presents both transformative potential and significant risk. Addressing these dualities requires a comprehensive, multi-stakeholder approach grounded in ethical principles, legal clarity, and professional capacity-building.

5 Conclusion

This study has explored the evolving implications of artificial intelligence (AI) on media professions, with particular attention to ethical risks, legal uncertainties, and the strategies required for responsible adaptation. The evidence indicates that while AI offers numerous efficiencies in content production, audience engagement, and journalistic innovation, it also poses substantive threats to information integrity, intellectual property regimes, and the sustainability of media careers.

Ethical challenges such as deepfake manipulation, algorithmic bias, and content personalization were identified as critical concerns. These technologies not only jeopardize public trust but also compromise the diversity and fairness of media representations. The findings confirm previous scholarship (e.g., Bekkar & Arab, 2025; Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019) asserting that unchecked algorithmic systems risk undermining the democratic role of media in society.

On the legal front, the absence of coherent frameworks to regulate AI-generated works has created a regulatory vacuum. This is particularly evident in issues surrounding copyright attribution, ownership disputes, and privacy violations. Interviews with legal experts reinforced Filipović's (2024) position that without statutory reforms, media organizations remain exposed to potential litigation and reputational harm.

Professionally, AI is transforming the media labor market by automating repetitive tasks while simultaneously generating demand for new roles that require technical fluency and ethical literacy. While some job functions may be displaced, the research demonstrates a high level of openness among professionals to retrain and integrate AI into their workflows, suggesting that AI can augment rather than replace human labor in media contexts.

Lastly, the fragmented nature of AI regulation across jurisdictions underscores the need for globally coordinated standards. Regulatory disparities

between the EU's proactive stance and the US's laissez-faire approach highlight the risk of legal loopholes that could be exploited to the detriment of journalistic integrity and user protection. A harmonized international regulatory framework is thus imperative for sustainable AI integration in media.

5.1 Implications for Media Professionals and Policymakers

The findings of this study carry significant implications for both practitioners and regulators. For media professionals, the imperative is clear: acquiring AI literacy is no longer optional but essential for navigating the evolving newsroom. Ethical awareness and technological competence must become foundational elements of media education and professional development.

For policymakers, the results signal the urgency of developing clear, enforceable guidelines for the use of AI in media. Legislative efforts must not only address copyright and privacy but also incorporate ethical audits, algorithmic transparency, and platform accountability. Public trust in media can only be restored through systems that are both technologically sound and ethically grounded.

5.2 Future Research Directions

Although this research offers a comprehensive examination of AI's impact on media careers, several avenues remain open for further exploration. Future studies should investigate the effectiveness of misinformation detection tools and develop metrics for evaluating the integrity of AI-generated media. Additionally, longitudinal research is needed to assess the long-term effects of AI on employment patterns in journalism, particularly in emerging markets.
Cross-sectoral comparisons of AI governance — between media, entertainment, publishing, and marketing industries — may also yield valuable insights. Another promising direction involves examining audience perceptions and trust in AI-generated content, which would inform the development of transparency protocols and media literacy initiatives.

5.3 Final Remarks

The intersection of AI and media careers represents both an opportunity and a disruption. This research underscores that technological advancement must be accompanied by ethical responsibility, legal innovation, and professional resilience. As AI continues to evolve, the burden lies on researchers, educators, media organizations, and regulators to shape an ecosystem where AI serves the public good. The future of journalism and media will depend not only on what AI can do — but on how responsibly we choose to use it.

5.4 Recommendations

In response to the ethical and legal challenges identified in this study, the following recommendations are proposed to guide policymakers, media professionals, and academic institutions in fostering responsible AI adoption in media environments:

1. Establish Transparent AI Disclosure Norms: Mandate the labeling of AI-generated content in journalistic and media platforms to promote audience awareness and content authenticity.
2. Develop AI-Specific Copyright Legislation: Update intellectual property laws to reflect the unique authorship status of AI-generated works and clearly delineate rights for developers, users, and data originators.
3. Implement AI Literacy Programs in Media Curricula: Integrate training modules on AI ethics, algorithmic transparency, and legal implications into media and journalism education to prepare professionals for emerging demands.
4. Mandate Algorithmic Fairness Audits: Require media organizations to conduct periodic audits of AI systems for bias, discrimination, and equitable representation to uphold media integrity and diversity.
5. Promote Global Regulatory Harmonization: Facilitate international dialogue and treaty-based frameworks to address cross-border challenges such as AI-generated misinformation and digital rights enforcement.

References

1. Bekkar, A., & Arab, F. (2025). Ethical challenges in artificial intelligence-generated media content. *The Journal of Research and Scientific Studies*, *19*(1), 107-512.
2. Buchanan, R. (2025). Exploring the role of AI in career access through a social justice lens. *Nordic Journal of Transitions, Careers and Guidance*, *6*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.16993/njtcg.77
3. Cath, C. (2018). Governing artificial intelligence: Ethical, legal and technical opportunities and challenges. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, *376*(2133), 20180080. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0080
4. Cedefop. (2021). Digital transitions in lifelong guidance: Rethinking careers practitioner professionalism: A CareersNet expert collection. *Publications Office of the European Union*. https://doi.org/10.2801/539512
5. Corvite, S., & Hui, J. (2024). Social media as a lens into careers during a changing world of work. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, *8*(CSCW2), 514. https://doi.org/10.1145/3687053
6. Filipović, I. (2024). The usage of artificial intelligence in media: Benefits, disadvantages, and ethical concerns. *Media Studies and Applied Ethics, 1*, 121-136. https://doi.org/10.46630/msae.1.2024.09
7. Helbing, D. (2019). Societal, economic, ethical and legal challenges of the digital revolution: From big data to deep learning, artificial intelligence, and manipulative technologies. In *Towards Digital Enlightenment* (pp. 47-78). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90869-4_6
8. Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Rulers of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons*, *62*(1), 15-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.09.003

9. Krassadaki, E., & Matsatsinis, N. (2023). Career options and necessary technical skills in AI. *Technical University of Crete*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365443444
10. Saleh, H. F. (2023). AI in media and journalism: Ethical challenges. *Journal of Opinion Analysis, 22*(3), 1-56. Cairo University.
11. Thomson, S. R., Pickard-Jones, B. A., Baines, S., & Otermans, P. C. J. (2024). The impact of AI on education and careers: What do students think? *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 7*, 1457299. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2024.1457299
12. Wagner, C. G. (2011). Emerging careers and how to create them. *World Future Society*.
13. Wallis, R., van Raalte, C., & Allegrini, S. (2020). The 'shelf-life' of a media career: A study of the long-term career narratives of media graduates. *Creative Industries Journal, 13*(2), 178-193. https://doi.org/10.1080/17510694.2019.1664099
14. Zhang, H., Lee, I., Ali, S., DiPaola, D., Cheng, Y., & Breazeal, C. (2022). Integrating ethics and career futures with technical learning to promote AI literacy for middle school students: An exploratory study. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 33*, 290-324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-022-00293-3